



Recreation Ground

66.8m

56.2m

61.0m

Map Centre 551717, 161423

This map is based upon the Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Severn District Council, 100019428, 2005.

Mr J Latheron

Tel No: 01732 227235
Ask for: Lesley Westphal
Email: Lesley.westphal@sevenoaks.gov.uk
My Ref:
Your Ref:
Date 5th April 2011

Dear Jim,

**Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Rural Exceptions Housing – Site at Filston Lane, Shoreham**

Further to our discussions on this matter, as requested, I set out below the planning policy situation in respect of the use of land at Filston Lane for the provision of affordable housing to meet local needs.

The site in question fronts onto Filston Lane and lies immediately adjacent to Mesne Way at the southern end of the village. I believe the District Council could support a Rural Exceptions housing scheme on this site – to comply with and meet the need for local affordable housing as identified within the 2005 Housing Needs Survey.

This site lies within the Metropolitan Green Belt and an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The presumption on Green Belt land is against new housing development unless for agriculture or forestry purposes. Even then a sound economic and functional case has to be made to demonstrate that the accommodation is required for an agricultural worker in that particular location in association with a particular agricultural or forestry business. National Guidance (Planning Policy Guidance 2 ‘Green Belts’), Regional Guidance (The South East Plan) and Local Guidance (Sevenoaks District Local Plan and the Core Strategy) is quite specific that new housing within the Green Belt is considered inappropriate development unless either for agricultural or forestry purposes *or* if the land is identified as a rural exceptions site. This site therefore cannot be used for open market housing.

The Council has recently, through its Development Plan process (the Core Strategy) identified the location of new housing sites within the district which will meet the projected housing needs of the district for a period until 2026. It has also identified a hierarchy for new housing development. This site was not identified nor considered for new housing during that plan period. Increasingly central Government seeks to ensure that new development is provided in sustainable locations and it is not anticipated therefore, even at the end of the Local Plan period, that there would be

releases of land from the Green Belt around Shoreham to permit the development of open market housing. The Core strategy identifies that most new development should take place within the confines of the three main towns within the District (ie Sevenoaks, Swanley and Edenbridge). Shoreham is identified in policy LO7 as a village that may provide for a modest increase in residential development through infilling or modest re-development. However this is predicated upon the fact that such sites are located within the built confines of the village, not in the green belt.

Government guidance in Planning Policy Statement 3 'Housing' allows for small scale affordable housing to be provided through the use of a "rural exceptions site policy" and clarifies that rural exception sites should only be used for affordable housing in perpetuity. It identifies rural exceptions housing sites as *small, solely for affordable housing and on land within or adjoining existing small rural communities which would not otherwise be released for general market housing.*

Policy H3 of the South East Plan identifies a role in increasing affordable housing provision for small scale affordable housing schemes within or well-related to rural settlements, possibly including land which would not otherwise be released for development. Policy SP4 of the Core Strategy interprets this guidance at a local level stating that such sites will only be considered if:

- the local need cannot be met by any other means within or where appropriate in an adjacent parish
- the proposal is of a type to meet the local need identified and available at an appropriate affordable cost
- the site is considered suitable for such purposes by virtue of its scale, is close to available services and public transport and there are no overriding countryside, conservation, environmental or highway impacts.

The Council's approach to the consideration of rural exception sites is based on firstly assessing need, then searching for suitable sites and then supporting the development of an agreed scheme. The development of Green Belt land for rural exception sites will only be acceptable where there is evidence of a local need in the parish for affordable housing, identified through the needs survey that could not be met by developing non Green Belt land.

That is the relevant planning policy background to this site which I hope is helpful. At a practical level I can confirm that I have surveyed the village and its surrounding land to try and identify land that could provide for the affordable housing needed for Shoreham and I am happy to discuss that if need be to show how limited the options around the village actually are. Indeed this work has been ongoing for some time. There are no sites within the built confines of the village that could accommodate the housing required and in fact there are only very limited areas surrounding the village that could accommodate such housing. Factors such as flood plains, Conservation Areas, Landscape designations and proximity/access to the village all play a part in determining potentially suitable land. We also of course have to get the permission of the land owner and as you know that has been a difficulty and why in fact, so far, we have failed to get a scheme off the ground. I had hoped that since the Council owns this land, that this part at least would be easier – being unaware though of the redevelopment clause until recently.

I hope this is helpful and explains the planning side of this issue but if I can be of any further assistance on this I am more than happy to help and can be contacted by phone or email as detailed at the head of this letter.

Yours sincerely,

Lesley Westphal
Principal Planning Officer

<p>Private Market Rental Values September 2011</p>	<p>We have spoken with a number of letting agents who operate in the vicinity of Shoreham. We understand that the village is popular, with insufficient supply of rental stock to meet demand from prospective tenants.</p> <p>There is a scarcity of rental evidence in the village and in applying our indicative opinion of the Private Market Rental Values to the proposed dwellings, we have given consideration to those comparables tabulated in Appendix 2.</p> <p>Our opinion of the current Private Market Rental Values of 8 proposed dwellings at the subject rural exception site, on the special assumption that they are built and completed, as at the date of our report, are as contained below:-</p>																																																																						
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Unit No.</th> <th>Unit Type</th> <th>No. Beds</th> <th>GIA Sqm</th> <th>GIA Sqft</th> <th>Car Parking Assumed</th> <th>Indicative Market Rent 06.09.11 (pcm)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Apt</td> <td>1</td> <td>51</td> <td>549</td> <td>1 x Surface Space</td> <td>£700</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Apt</td> <td>1</td> <td>51</td> <td>549</td> <td>1 x Surface Space</td> <td>£700</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Apt</td> <td>2</td> <td>61</td> <td>656</td> <td>2 x Surface Spaces</td> <td>£825</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Apt</td> <td>2</td> <td>61</td> <td>656</td> <td>2 x Surface Spaces</td> <td>£825</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Terraced House</td> <td>2</td> <td>76</td> <td>818</td> <td>2 x Surface Spaces</td> <td>£875</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>Terraced House</td> <td>2</td> <td>76</td> <td>818</td> <td>2 x Surface Spaces</td> <td>£875</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>Terraced House</td> <td>3</td> <td>86</td> <td>925</td> <td>2 x Surface Spaces</td> <td>£1000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8</td> <td>Terraced House</td> <td>3</td> <td>86</td> <td>925</td> <td>2 x Surface Spaces</td> <td>£1000</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"></td> <td>548</td> <td>5896</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Unit No.	Unit Type	No. Beds	GIA Sqm	GIA Sqft	Car Parking Assumed	Indicative Market Rent 06.09.11 (pcm)	1	Apt	1	51	549	1 x Surface Space	£700	2	Apt	1	51	549	1 x Surface Space	£700	3	Apt	2	61	656	2 x Surface Spaces	£825	4	Apt	2	61	656	2 x Surface Spaces	£825	5	Terraced House	2	76	818	2 x Surface Spaces	£875	6	Terraced House	2	76	818	2 x Surface Spaces	£875	7	Terraced House	3	86	925	2 x Surface Spaces	£1000	8	Terraced House	3	86	925	2 x Surface Spaces	£1000				548	5896		
Unit No.	Unit Type	No. Beds	GIA Sqm	GIA Sqft	Car Parking Assumed	Indicative Market Rent 06.09.11 (pcm)																																																																	
1	Apt	1	51	549	1 x Surface Space	£700																																																																	
2	Apt	1	51	549	1 x Surface Space	£700																																																																	
3	Apt	2	61	656	2 x Surface Spaces	£825																																																																	
4	Apt	2	61	656	2 x Surface Spaces	£825																																																																	
5	Terraced House	2	76	818	2 x Surface Spaces	£875																																																																	
6	Terraced House	2	76	818	2 x Surface Spaces	£875																																																																	
7	Terraced House	3	86	925	2 x Surface Spaces	£1000																																																																	
8	Terraced House	3	86	925	2 x Surface Spaces	£1000																																																																	
			548	5896																																																																			

<p>Illustrative Residual Appraisal</p>	<p>A copy of our illustrative residual appraisal is attached in Appendix 3, which should be self explanatory to Interpret.</p> <p>Illustrative Appraisal - Assuming all 8 dwellings to be for Affordable Rented tenures, once built and completed.</p> <p>In summary, we have allowed for site acquisition costs, all inclusive build costs at £1575psm / £146psf due the absence of proposed plans, and finance costs. We have assumed that the dwellings will be built to Code 3 for Sustainable Homes.</p> <p>We have assumed a construction period of 10 months to complete the development.</p> <p>Our revenue figures for the Affordable Rented tenure dwellings have been established by adopting the weekly rental breakdown of the 80% of the Private Market Rental Values as contained above, which we have inputted into our discounted cash flow spreadsheet. We have as advised deducted the sum of £9 per week to account for service charges. We have, as advised, assumed voids at 1.75%, with maintenance costs, per unit, per annum of £400 from years 1 - 5, reverting to the sum of £800 per unit, per annum from year 6. We have also, as advised, assumed management costs at £400, per unit, per annum. We have applied a discount rate of 6%.</p> <p>We have assumed that no grant funding will be provided.</p> <p>We have not made an allowance for a Registered Provider's on-costs.</p> <p>Our appraisal indicates a site value of £25,000.</p> <p>Therefore, our opinion of the site value on the special assumption that the subject site benefits from planning permission for 8 affordable rented tenure dwellings to be built, with vacant possession, as at the date of our report is,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">£25,000 (Twenty Five Thousand Pounds)</p>
--	---